

THE CITY OF TAKOMA PARK, MARYLAND

Regular Meeting of the Mayor and Council
and
Public Hearing on Alternate Means of Financial Assistance to Developer in TOT

December 13, 1982

AGENDA

CALL TO ORDER: Mayor Abbott
ROLL CALL: Councilmember Bradley
Councilmember D'Ovidio
Councilmember Eckert
Councilmember Faulkner
Councilmember Garcia
Councilmember Iddings
Councilmember Williams

PLEDGE

READING AND APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF SPECIAL MEETING OF THE COUNCIL: NOVEMBER 2, 1982

MAYOR ABBOTT'S COMMENTS AND PRESENTATIONS

1. Swearing in of Police Officer John A. Suero
2. Other comments/presentations

PUBLIC HEARING ON TWO ALTERNATIVE MEANS OF ASSISTANCE TO DEVELOPER IN TAKOMA OLD TOWN:
MARYLAND INDUSTRIAL-COMMERCIAL REDEVELOPMENT FUNDS (MICRF) AND CITY OFFERING OF
INDUSTRIAL REVENUE BONDS

PRESENTATION ON TAKOMA OLD TOWN PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS

ADDITIONAL AGENDA ITEMS

GENERAL CITIZENS' REMARKS (those not directed at items for Council action)

ITEMS FOR COUNCIL CONSIDERATION: CITY ADMINISTRATOR NICHOLS

1. Communications
2. Administrative Reports and Recommendations for Council Action:
 - (1) Administrative reports
 - (2) Zoning Reclassification Application No. G-108, R-60 to C-2,
8 Grant Avenue (Public Hearing: 9:30 AM, 12-17-82, COB, Rockville)
Citizens comments
Council action
 - (3) Second reading of a proposed ordinance designating spaces for
handicapped parking (deferred at 11-22-82 meeting)
Citizens' comments
Council action
 - (4) Second reading of a proposed ordinance authorizing solicitation of bids
for the demolition of 7709 Carroll Avenue
Citizens' comments
Council action
 - (5) Resolution pertaining to the operations and obligations of the
Transportation Policy and Planning Committee
Citizens' comments
Council action

ADJOURNMENT

Ordinance #2642

FRI. PKG.
11-19-82

FRI. PKG.
12-10-82 DR

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF TAKOMA PARK, MARYLAND

SECTION 1. THAT it has been determined that there is a need to establish parking spaces expressly for the handicapped at various locations throughout the City; AND

SECTION 2. THEREFORE THAT in conformance with Sec. 13-64(a)(10) of the Code of Takoma Park, 1972, as amended, the following sites are hereby designated for the exclusive use of vehicles displaying a special registration plate or permit issued to the disabled by any state or the District of Columbia:

- (a) On Elson Court, 1 parking space at or near 1311 Elson Court;
- (b) West side of Hickory Avenue, 1 space opposite 22 Hickory Avenue;
- (c) On Kingwood Drive, 1 parking space in front of 1108 Kingwood;
- (d) On Holly Avenue, 1 parking space in front of 7301 Holly;

AND

SECTION 3. THAT parking shall be restricted in the above-designated spaces to those vehicles displaying a special registration plate or permit as described in Section 2 of this ordinance; AND

SECTION 4. THAT any person issued a citation in violation of this ordinance shall be subject to a fine of \$25.00 for each violation, as prescribed in Sec. 13-64(a)(10)(A) of the Code of Takoma Park, Md., 1972, as amended; AND

SECTION 5. THAT the Director of Public Works is hereby instructed to erect the appropriate signing; AND

SECTION 6. THAT this ordinance shall become effective upon completion of the signing.

SECTION 7. FURTHER THAT a review of each of the handicapped spaces enumerated in Section 2 shall be made annually and a determination made as to whether or not the restriction should be continued.

ADOPTED BY THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL December 13, 1982.

Note: Sections 2(d) and 7 added after first reading. Section 2(d), 7301 Holly Avenue space, was included following a telephone survey of the immediate neighbors in which no negative responses were received. Section 7 was included at the request of Councilmember Bradley.

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, the traffic problems affecting certain streets and neighborhoods in Takoma Park are severe and longstanding; and

WHEREAS, these problems must be solved expeditiously to prevent any further degradation of these neighborhoods and streets; and

WHEREAS, the Mayor and Council intend to implement solutions to traffic problems as quickly as possible; and

WHEREAS, the Mayor and Council intend to give priority to streets and neighborhoods with histories of severe traffic problems such as a high volume of traffic, unusual hazards for pedestrians and bicyclists using the sidewalk or street, or an unusual number of accidents or moving violations;

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF TAKOMA PARK, MD that

Section 1. The Transportation Planning and Policy Committee, hereinafter referred to as "the Committee", is hereby charged with developing a comprehensive traffic plan for the City of Takoma Park, which plan will identify the traffic problems affecting the City and will propose solutions for those problems.

Section 2. To assist it in fulfilling the charge specified in Sect. 1, the Committee is hereby authorized to negotiate with the Transportation Planning Board of the Council of Governments or other pertinent agency an agreement providing for traffic engineering services such agreement subject to Council approval.

Section 3. The Committee shall report to the Council each month on its progress in developing the comprehensive traffic plan, and shall issue a final report in June 1983, unless the Council grants an extension.

Section 4. The Committee may recommend interim traffic control experiments to test the validity of the data collected for the traffic plan and to determine whether the proposed solutions adequately serve the intended purposes. In recommending such experiments, the Committee shall give priority to streets with histories of severe traffic problems.

ADOPTED BY THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL December 13, 1982.

THE CITY OF TAKOMA PARK, MARYLAND

Regular Meeting of the Mayor and Council
and
Public Hearing on Alternate Means of Financial
Assistance to Developer in TOT
December 13, 1982

City Officials Present

Mayor Abbott	City Administrator Nichols
Councilmember Bradley	Asst. City Administrator Schnuer
Councilmember D'Ovidio	City Clerk Pusti
Councilmember Faulkner	Police Lieutenant Gowin
Councilmember Garcia	Public Works Director Robbins
Councilmember Iddings	Recreation Director Ziegler
Councilmember Williams	Corporation Counsel Gagliardo
EXCUSED: Councilmember Eckert	Housing Services Director Tyree
	Asst. Housing Director Austin

The Mayor and City Council of Takoma Park met on December 13, 1982, at 8:15 P.M., in the Council Chamber, 7500 Maple Avenue, Takoma Park, Maryland. Following the pledge, a motion was made, duly seconded, and carried unanimously, to approve the minutes of the Special Meeting held by Council on November 2, 1982.

MAYOR ABBOTT'S COMMENTS AND PRESENTATIONS

Police Officer John Suero was sworn in by the Mayor; Councilmember Bradley extended congratulations to the new officer, remarked that she understood he is a City resident. The Mayor reminded there would be a public hearing before the Montgomery County Delegation on December 16 concerning changing the prohibition status in the City under certain conditions; urged citizens to attend and participate and/or submit written testimony. Concerning unification, Mayor Abbott, remarked that contrary to information received earlier, it was the Legislative Liaison to the Legislature, Blair Lee III, who has proposed appointing a task force to study the question of whether the City should be unified and related questions which would evolve; said the County Executive would publicly declare his support for unification without any strings pertaining to a task force; the City would then prevail on the County Council to withdraw their support of a task force. Again, expressed thanks to the Citizens for a Referendum for their initiative and efforts. Stated that in January a public hearing before the Prince George's Delegation on the subject of unification will be held; notification will be made when the time, date, and place are certain. On the subject of the WAH San Building, the Mayor commented that a case would be heard on December 14 in court. Remarked that on December 15 at 10:00 A.M., the Save Our Community School Committee would be meeting with County Executive Gilchrist concerning the closure of the Junior High School; an article would be probably forthcoming in the Washington Post on the subject; and on Wednesday, January 12 at 7:30 P.M., Superintendent of Schools Andrews will be present for a meeting in the Municipal Building, urged everyone to attend. Commented that these four issues had kept everyone very busy; commended the citizenry and Council on their efforts.

Councilmember Faulkner directed attention to House Bill 843/82 "Municipalities Elimination of Double Taxation for Governmental Services", sponsored by a large number of Prince George's delegates, several Montgomery County delegates, and a few other delegates. The bill would eliminate, by state law, double taxation for services not provided by the counties; hoped the City would vigorously support the bill, urged citizens to write their delegates in support of the legislation. Mayor Abbott remarked he hoped that Maryland Municipal League would alter their prior position this year and call for mass support of the bill. Councilmember Bradley stated that this bill is one of five that the Maryland Municipal League feels are of utmost importance to municipalities in the state of Maryland; said she had been appointed to a special strategy committee to work toward passage of the bills; remarked it will be very important to get individual citizens involved in lobbying for passage of the legislation. Mayor Abbott spoke on the property tax assessments recently received by residents (amounting to approximately 33% over a three year period); said perhaps a mass class

action objection would be warranted, Explained that while the assessments are on a tri-annual basis, interest rates and sale prices have not escalated as foreseen a year ago and perhaps there should be a reduction. Suggested that the Legislative Action Committee be asked to look into emergency legislation to end the tri-annual system which was initiated at a time when yearly increases in evaluation could be projected, but the recession and current market in property sales has since changed that. Said the state assessors will come to Takoma Park for a public informational meeting in January; details will be in the January Newsletter which will be distributed January 8.

PUBLIC HEARING ON TWO ALTERNATIVE MEANS OF ASSISTANCE TO DEVELOPER IN TAKOMA OLD TOWN: MARYLAND INDUSTRIAL-COMMERCIAL REDEVELOPMENT FUNDS (MICRF) AND CITY OFFERING OF INDUSTRIAL REVENUE BONDS. Richard Schnuer, Director of Community Development, spoke on objectives of the Takoma Old Town Plan and strategies to be employed. Said two developers, John Urciolo and Travis Price, who worked extensively on the planning phase of the revitalization project, propose new development in TOT, and the public hearing is concerned with financial assistance to the developers; elaborated on the two means of assistance being proposed, including their advantages/disadvantages. Councilmember Faulkner remarked that it should be emphasized that a difference in risk level exists between the two alternatives - the City would be ultimately responsible for MICRF funding, but not in the case of IRB's.

Travis Price gave a slide presentation showing the site and what is being proposed architecturally; followed by John Urciolo who spoke on financing, developmental problems encountered, and why MICRF financing, is being sought. Mr. Urciolo pointed out that the City's risk in the MICRF loan would be fairly minimal because a construction loan would not be sought; the construction loan would be a conventional one through a local bank; an occupancy permit would not be issued until the building was fully completed, at which time the permanent financing (MICRF) would pay off the construction loan. In effect, the City would have a fully completed building; the leasing requirement is that 75% of the building be leased prior to the construction loan being granted on the building (the City would not enter the picture until the building is complete and rented). Stated that IRB's were not very feasible, but an alternative if MICRF does not approve a loan (considering time constraints, escalating costs, fact that rate cannot be guaranteed until bonds are actually floated).

In response to query from the Mayor, Mr. Urciolo stated a number and variety of small businesses have expressed interest in the proposed building. Councilmember Faulkner relayed a question from Councilmember Eckert concerning, in light of the high unemployment rate particularly among certain population groups, a commitment/plan from the developers to require their contractors to hire Takoma Park residents for the construction (giving preference to groups with high-level unemployment) in return for the City's support for the MICRF loan. Mr. Price asserted that he and Mr. Urciolo were sensitive to this need and could assure they would make it a goal, however, did not feel it economically feasible to undertake preparation and submission of a formal bureaucratic plan.

1. Rino Aldrighetti, 7213 Central Avenue: questioned what the unemployment rate is in the City; figures were unknown, but the assertion was made that it is probably appreciably higher than the 4% in Montgomery County. Mr. Aldrighetti requested that the figures for the City be obtained, if possible.

Councilmember D'Ovidio expressed that, while a commitment to hire City unemployed persons when possible was worthy, the goal of getting the building up and businesses in it that might employ City residents on a longterm basis was of more immediate import. Councilmember Bradley expressed agreement with Councilmember D'Ovidio; said that a requirement for the developers to hire City unemployed would place a burden on them that the City has not been able to address on a broader policy level.

2. John Sanders, owner of SM Corporation in Takoma Old Town: stated his business employs four minority students; said the only way progress will be made is by businesses employing and training those people who need help; mentioned "Third Base", a white-collar unemployed counseling group in TOT run by Dave Ellis; said they recently ran ads in the Washington Post for various types of employment, out of 1100 responses, only 15 were from Takoma Park residents.

3. Jim Brogan, 7122 Willow Avenue: stated he works for a general contractor; said they literally spend millions of dollars each year attempting to meet state and federal quotas/commitments concerning employment and are virtually unsuccessful, but do make a good faith effort; said that to make a developer have to put forth that effort is not a good idea; the fact that they set some goals and make an effort to achieve those goals should be sufficient. Agreed with Councilmember Bradley that a private developer should not be required to do more than the City has been able to do; expressed confidence in Travis Price's record of employing City residents whenever possible.

Councilmember Bradley expressed hopes that through volunteers, private development, and/or Board of Education training programs, goals could be set and a plan implemented for training and employment of City residents needing that help. Councilmember Iddings stated he felt the economic considerations at this point in time are paramount, a social agenda could be explored by Council, but it would be unfair to place the constriction of other than a stated goal on the developer at the eleventh hour. Following additional discussion, David Weisman questioned, on the basis of the City's financial involvement, the cost of the total project, the square footage cost, and who the investors involved would be. Mr. Price stated that the square footage cost was calculated at \$55/square foot finished, including some tenant amenities, total cost of the project approximately \$490,000. Richard Schnuer, Asst. City Administrator, stated that the investors' equity in the project would be approximately 12%, the City owning about \$425,000, Messrs. Price and Urciolo being the general partners in a limited partnership with other limited partners, most of whom would be City residents. In response to query from the Mayor, Mr. Schnuer stated that the present hearing was for the purpose of soliciting comment from citizens, the Mayor, and Council prior to the filing of a preliminary MICRF application for review by the state and a possible contingent commitment from them (contingent upon the building being completed and rented); opportunity would exist for an additional public hearing at the time of the official commitment when the City would be borrowing state funds and loaning them.

4. Muriel Sumner, 7513 Maple Avenue: questioned what proportion, if any, of the state funding would have matching federal funds. Mr. Schnuer commented that the state funding would not have any matching federal funds; however, the state would require that the City make certain commitments to the project such as street improvements, which were intended to be done anyway (with federal funds).

5. Charles Hayes, 7122 Woodland Avenue: wondered whether a formal offering to raise the limited partners mentioned was ready; Mr. Price reiterated that he could not go into a public formal offering because he would be under SEC regulations; stated that the partnership is registered; a disclosure document was not complete; interest in investing had so far been verbal primarily. In response to query from the Mayor, Mr. Schnuer stated that investors would be a matter of public record prior to the MICRF loan. Mr. Hayes suggested that a document detailing the financial structure be made available for public inspection at the library; said it is very difficult to determine whether there is need for public funding merely on representations; it would be very helpful to everyone if between the present time and the time of a public hearing on adoption of a MICRF loan the said financial document were available. Mr. Schnuer assured that the MICRF loan application would be a matter of public record and a copy could be placed in the library.

6. Roland Halstead, 7116 Maple Avenue: questioned the justification for public involvement in the project aside from street and lighting improvements, i.e., what was the public interest. Mr. Schnuer pointed out that there were certain things the City would like to see happen in the TOT area; due to the economic quality of the times, it would not be unreasonable for the City to take measures to assist the development which hopefully would provide services to the members of the community, enhance the attractiveness of the area, and increase the tax base, thus keeping down residential and other taxes. Mr. Halstead questioned what would happen, given the worst case scenario, should the building be built but a number of the businesses wishing to occupy it go bankrupt and the required occupancy percentage not be met; what options for meeting the payments would the City have other than raising taxes? Mr. Schnuer assured that the City would make no commitment financially until such time as the building was complete and an agreed upon percentage of it rented and occupied. Said the project entails a half million commitment out of a 3.5 million annual City operating budget, thus not beyond the City's means. Stated that the developers will have an equity in the building, so if there were losses, the building could be sold and the loan amount taken out of the sale price by the City. Mr. Halstead commented that, as a resident of the area, he appreciates the scale of the project over some others that have been proposed.

In response to query, Mr. Schnuer stated that MICRF has a \$3,000,000 limit to a project; they would consider TOT as a project, so if \$425,000 is loaned for this building, it will be that much less available for a second project. Said he felt it advantageous to start in a small way, once development is under way, thought interest will be aroused in the investment community. Said MICRF has been encouraging insofar as the application from the City; however, they generally are not particularly toward commercial office space. As a technical note, commented that because MICRF would view the TOT area as a project, the application submitted would define and describe the entire project area with the proposed building defined as a first stage, so that in effect, should MICRF approve a loan they would be basically accepting the entire plan and future funding should come fairly easily if applied for.

PRESENTATION ON TAKOMA OLD TOWN PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS

Assistant City Administrator Schnuer spoke on the background and development of the plan for public improvements, including the hiring of a design team who had done topographical surveys and would design and oversee the construction to take place. Said they are now ready to bring forward a preliminary design plan providing options for citizen comment; subsequently, the plan will go back to the drawing board to incorporate suggestions from Mayor and Council and the citizenry; thereafter, to State Highway, utility companies, etc., for their approval prior to going to bid and selection of contractor(s), with construction hopefully to commence in July. Introduced Mike Warring of Warring Associates who spoke on the design plan formulated by LDR, Inc., some of the innovations offered by utility companies, cost considerations involved; mentioned coordination with the Seventh Day Adventist Church who occupy a large segment of property in the area, as well as Park and Planning on the small park in the area. Said meetings are scheduled to take place with commercial establishments to respond to their questions and concerns. Cy Paumier of Land Design Research, Inc., gave a slide presentation depicting photographic inventories of existing sites in Takoma Old Town and conceptual ideas for those sites emphasizing visual impact of the area; included slides of revitalization accomplishments in Westminster, Frederick, and Baltimore. Following a question and answer period, Mayor Abbott queried when cost estimates on the project would be available; Mr. Warring responded that by the December 20 worksession he could provide estimates dealing with improvements in the public right-of-way, excluding lighting if information from PEPCO was still incomplete; said there are a number of variables to be considered in lighting alternatives.

Frances Phipps commented on various graphics aspects of the plan, addressed cosmetic problems, queried how wide a right-of-way would be required in order to put utility poles behind buildings; Mr. Warring commented that such a right-of-way is usually 25-50 feet, but could possibly be as small as 15 feet if work space permitted; said there are some poles already behind the buildings providing service to the rear of the buildings. Jim Brogan wondered if the Seventh Day Adventist Church had been approached regarding improvements to their property; did not think public funds should pay for improvements made on church property. Mr. Schnuer commented that the church had been very cooperative, several conversations had taken place with the City regarding proposed beautification such as landscaping, etc., which would be accomplished with their own funds. A lengthy discussion period ensued which included expressions of personal opinion on decor for the area. John Sanders, a business owner on Carroll Avenue, stated that he had spent a considerable amount on improvements to his property; thought the plan should be gotten underway, incentives provided to other business owners to improve their facades and concrete improvements accomplished. Councilmember Iddings suggested that consideration might be given to relocation of bus stops in the TOT area to provide greater accessibility to the shopping area.

ITEMS FOR COUNCIL CONSIDERATION

(1) Approval of Council of Montgomery County Bill 246-83, Proposed Liquor Law. Councilmember D'Ovidio made a motion, duly seconded by Councilmember Bradley, that Council endorse the language of the proposed bill; motion carried unanimously. Mayor Abbott remarked that a public hearing would be advertised in the Newsletter and held by Council at a later date on the subject. (Copies of bill will be available in City office.)

(2) Second reading of a proposed ordinance designating spaces for handicapped parking (deferred at November 22, 1982 meeting). The City Administrator reminded that implementation of the ordinance was previously deferred due to questions raised concerning one particular request for space on Elson Court; said that Ms. Sumner had agreed to do some research on that request and see if an equitable resolution could be reached permitting implementation of the ordinance and also relieving the apparent strife in the community. Stated that two sections had been added to the ordinance: 1) request for space at 7301 Holly Avenue (a telephone survey of neighbors was performed and no objections voiced; and, 2) Section 7, at the request of Councilmember Bradley, providing for annual review of the spaces to determine the need for their continuance.

Muriel Sumner: reminded she had been requested/invited to attempt to resolve a problem perceived by an Elson Court resident concerning the requested parking space there after she spoke in favor of the ordinance. Said she acquainted herself with all applicants and locations involved in the original ordinance, additionally researching the Annotated Code of Maryland and City statutes. Related that none of the homes on Elson Court have driveways, thus available parking space must be shared by all residents; did not think the issuance or denial of a reserved space to Mr. Wright at 1311 Elson Court had anything to do with the basic problem; thought the space should be provided; cited Section 21-1005 of the Transportation Article, Maryland Annotated Code dealing with provision of parking space for handicapped persons, requirements and application for same.

Richard Feldman, 1313 Elson Court: reiterated the problem as he perceived it and as stated at the November 22 meeting; felt the conflict evolved from Mr. Wright having one vehicle too many for the limited parking available on the court.

Prinz Wright, 1311 Elson Court: related coming home and having to park in the center of the court due to no space being available and his inability to walk from the bottom of the hill due to physical disability; said if he had one reserved space he would be willing to park his other vehicle at the bottom of the hill to relieve the congestion.

Mr. Feldman stated that if Mr. Prinz would, indeed, park his other vehicle elsewhere, then he would have no objection to issuance of the reserved space. Councilmember Williams stated that based upon the State of Maryland's issuance of handicapped tags to Mr. Wright, research that had been done into laws governing handicapped parking, he felt the reserved space should be granted.

Sherry Weel, 1303 Elson Court: expressed doubt that a verbal agreement from Mr. Prinz would be effective in solving the problem since neighbors had approached him many times trying to resolve the situation, to no avail. Councilmember Iddings remarked that a neighborhood feud appeared to exist, under State law Mr. Wright was entitled to a reserved space; moved adoption of the ordinance, duly seconded by Councilmember Williams. Ordinance No. 2642 was adopted by roll call vote as follows: AYE: Councilmembers Bradley, D'Ovidio, Faulkner, Garcia, Iddings, and Williams. NAY: None. EXCUSED: Councilmember Eckert.

ORDINANCE NO. 2642
(Attached)

(3) Zoning Reclassification Application No. G-108, R-60 to C-2, 8 Grant Avenue, (Public Hearing: 9:30 A.M., December 17, 1982, County Office Building, Rockville, Md). A motion was made by Councilmember Iddings, duly seconded by Councilmember D'Ovidio, that Council oppose the zoning reclassification.

Mr. Ebner, owner of 12 Grant Avenue: spoke in opposition to the requested zoning reclassification.

Councilmember Bradley remarked that when the City hires an economic development specialist, she hoped that individual would look into relocation alternatives for businesses in the City (such as the one formerly located at 8 Grant Avenue), rather than having them move out of Takoma Park. The question was called; motion carried unanimously.

Ron Wylie spoke briefly regarding Washington Adventist Hospital; mentioned a hospital board meeting scheduled for December 16; hoped Council would authorize a communication to the hospital expressing willingness to negotiate on planned demolition of the San Building. Mayor Abbott mentioned a meeting scheduled at the hospital December 14; said Senator Bainum, Dr. Shiroma, representatives of the City, and citizens would be there, and should there appear to be a glimmer of hope, the communication mentioned by Mr. Wylie would be forthcoming in time for consideration by the Board of Directors on December 16. A motion was made, duly seconded, and carried unanimously, that the Mayor be authorized to issue a letter of invitation for further discussion on the issues to be transmitted to the Board of Directors of the hospital.

(4) Second reading of a proposed ordinance authorizing solicitation of bids for the demolition of 7709 Carroll Avenue. City Administrator Nichols explained that the property is now under new ownership, the new owner being Dr. Wunderlich, who has promised to immediately secure the property and take steps toward long-term resolution of the problem. Said Dr. Wunderlich had given him a list of items he had completed since taking title to the property; felt confident the property would now meet safety codes, eliminating the need for demolition. Said Dr. Wunderlich has had the property appraised, hoped to put it up for sale, and would like the opportunity to dispose of it in a way other than demolition. Mr. Nichols stated that with the property going on the market, a reasonable opportunity could be allowed for qualified buyers to come forward but with the understanding that any reasonable offer should be accompanied by a plan for restoration within the foreseeable future so that someone does not buy it and let it continue to remain in its present condition. Said a couple of alternatives had come to light in terms of a cooperative effort between the City and the County and the owner of the property in terms of rehabilitation,

but they will take some time to investigate. A motion was made by Councilmember Faulkner, duly seconded by Councilmember Garcia, that the ordinance be tabled. Motion carried with Councilmember D'Ovidio voting Nay; balance of Council, Aye.

(5) Resolution pertaining to the operations and obligations of the Transportation Policy and Planning Committee. A motion for adoption was made by Councilmember Iddings, duly seconded by Councilmember Bradley; Roland Halstead noted that Maple Avenue citizens viewed the resolution favorably; motion carried unanimously.

(Resolution Attached)

Upon motion, duly seconded, the meeting adjourned at 12:55 A.M., to reconvene on Monday, January 10, 1983, at 8:00 P.M., in regular session.

Ordinance #2642

FRI. PKG.
12-10-82 OR

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF TAKOMA PARK, MARYLAND

SECTION 1. THAT it has been determined that there is a need to establish parking spaces expressly for the handicapped at various locations throughout the City; AND

SECTION 2. THEREFORE THAT in conformance with Sec. 13-64(a)(10) of the Code of Takoma Park, 1972, as amended; the following sites are hereby designated for the exclusive use of vehicles displaying a special registration plate or permit issued to the disabled by any state or the District of Columbia:

- (a) On Elson Court, 1 parking space at or near 1311 Elson Court;
- (b) West side of Hickory Avenue, 1 space opposite 22 Hickory Avenue;
- (c) On Kingwood Drive, 1 parking space in front of 1108 Kingwood;
- (d) On Holly Avenue, 1 parking space in front of 7301 Holly;

AND

SECTION 3. THAT parking shall be restricted in the above-designated spaces to those vehicles displaying a special registration plate or permit as described in Section 2 of this ordinance; AND

SECTION 4. THAT any person issued a citation in violation of this ordinance shall be subject to a fine of \$25.00 for each violation, as prescribed in Sec. 13-64(a)(10)(A) of the Code of Takoma Park, Md., 1972, as amended; AND

SECTION 5. THAT the Director of Public Works is hereby instructed to erect the appropriate signing; AND

SECTION 6. THAT this ordinance shall become effective upon completion of the signing.

SECTION 7. FURTHER THAT a review of each of the handicapped spaces enumerated in Section 2 shall be made annually and a determination made as to whether or not the restriction should be continued.

ADOPTED BY THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL December 13, 1982.

Note: Sections 2(d) and 7 added after first reading. Section 2(d), 7301 Holly Avenue space, was included following a telephone survey of the immediate neighbors in which no negative responses were received. Section 7 was included at the request of Councilmember Bradley.

FRI. PKG.
12-10-82

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, the traffic problems affecting certain streets and neighborhoods in Takoma Park are severe and longstanding; and

WHEREAS, these problems must be solved expeditiously to prevent any further degradation of these neighborhoods and streets; and

WHEREAS, the Mayor and Council intend to implement solutions to traffic problems as quickly as possible; and

WHEREAS, the Mayor and Council intend to give priority to streets and neighborhoods with histories of severe traffic problems such as a high volume of traffic, unusual hazards for pedestrians and bicyclists using the sidewalk or street, or an unusual number of accidents or moving violations;

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF TAKOMA PARK, MD that

Section 1. The Transportation Planning and Policy Committee, hereinafter referred to as "the Committee", is hereby charged with developing a comprehensive traffic plan for the City of Takoma Park, which plan will identify the traffic problems affecting the City and will propose solutions for those problems.

Section 2. To assist it in fulfilling the charge specified in Sect. 1, the Committee is hereby authorized to negotiate with the Transportation Planning Board of the Council of Governments or other pertinent agency an agreement providing for traffic engineering services such agreement subject to Council approval.

Section 3. The Committee shall report to the Council each month on its progress in developing the comprehensive traffic plan, and shall issue a final report in June 1983, unless the Council grants an extension.

Section 4. The Committee may recommend interim traffic control experiments to test the validity of the data collected for the traffic plan and to determine whether the proposed solutions adequately serve the intended purposes. In recommending such experiments, the Committee shall give priority to streets with histories of severe traffic problems.

ADOPTED BY THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL December 13, 1982.