New Ave Bikeway - Option Matrix



Page 1 of 5

SEGMENT	ALTERNATIVE	PROS	CONS	PROPOSED TYPICAL (Generated from Streetmix.net)
Auburn Ave to Devonshire Ave (Sta. 102+75 To 105+25, Lt)	Alternative 1 (Shown on Concept Plan) - Reduce Road Width 1' - Rebuild Sidewalk as 8' Shared Use Path (SUP) with 2' Buffer	- Maintains On Street Parking - Off Street Bicycle Accommodations	 SUP is 8' Wide (10' Preferred) Higher Potential for Bike/Ped Conflicts Frontage Road Width Reduced by 1'+/- 1 Utility Pole Relocation Fence Relocations Removal of Trees/Landscaping Reconstruct Driveway/Walkway Tie-Ins Reduction in width of median separating MD 650 and Service Road 	8' 2' 7' 10' 2' Shared Use Path Parking lane Drive lane
	Alternative 2 - Reduce Road Width 8' - Rebuild Sidewalk as 10' Shared Use Path (SUP) with 2' Buffer - Hold Back Edge of Existing Sidewalk	 No Impacts to Fence or Trees Off Street Bicycle Accommodations SUP Meets Recommended 10' Width and has a 2' buffer 	 Removes Existing On Street Parking Higher Potential for Bike/Ped Conflicts Reconstruct Driveway/Walkway Tie-Ins Reduction in width of median separating MD 650 and Frontage Road 	1' 10' 2' 10' 2' Shared Use Path Drive lane
	Alternative 3 - Remove Parking and Restripe as SB Sharrow and NB Contra-Flow Bike Lane	 Utilizes Existing Sidewalk and Curb No Impacts to Fence, Trees, or Driveway/Walkway Tie-ins No Utility Pole Impacts Least Impact/Low Cost Alternative 3B provides further separation of Contra-Flow Riders from MD 650 	- Removes Existing On Street Parking - Existing Sidewalk Does Not Meet ADA Requirements. Recommend Construction of Passing Areas Every 200'	4' 10' 1' 5' 2' Alternative 3A Alternative 3B

New Ave Bikeway - Option Matrix





Page 2 of 5

SEGMENT	ALTERNATIVE	PROS	CONS	PROPOSED TYPICAL (Generated from Streetmix.net)
Devonshire Ave to Larch Ave (Sta. 105+75 To 111+00, Lt)	Alternative 1 (Shown on Concept Plan) - Reduce Road Width 1.5' - Reconstruct Sidewalk as 8' SUP	- Maintains On Street Parking - Off Street Bicycle Accommodations	- SUP is 8' Wide (10' Preferred) - Higher Potential for Bike/Ped Conflicts - Frontage Road Width Reduced by 1.5'+/ No Buffer between SUP and Road - 4 Utility Pole Relocations - Fence Relocations - Removal of Trees/Landscaping - Reconstruct Driveway/Walkway Tie-Ins - Requires Retaining Wall - Requires Signal Modifications at Larch Ave	8' 7' 10' 3' Shared Use Path Parking lane Drive lane
	Alternative 2 - Implement SB Shared Lane Along Service Road - Implement NB Shared Lanes Along Local Streets	 No Impacts to Fence, Trees, Driveway/Walkway Tie-ins, Utility Poles Maintains On Street Parking Least Impact/Low Cost Utilizes Existing Sidewalk and Curb 	 Requires NB Bicycle Route to use Secondary Roads (Sharrows and Signing to be installed) On Street Bicycle Accommodations Does Not Provide Continuity with Adjacent Blocks No Dedicated Bike Facility may Encourage Sidewalk Riding Existing Sidewalk Does Not Meet ADA. Recommend Construction of Passing Areas Every 200' 	Green Arrows Depict Shared Lane Routes
Larch Ave to Sligo Creek Pkwy (Sta. 111+25 To 123+25, Lt)		- Off Street Bicycle Accommodations - SUP Meets Recommended 10' Width and has a 9' min buffer (Except at the Sligo Creek Intersection where an 8' SUP with 2' Buffer is Provided)	- Impacts M-NCPPC, WSSC, and Vanic Properties -Requires 600 L.F. of Retaining Walls (4'-8' Height) - Potential Stream/Wetland/Tree Impacts - Potential Utility Pole Guy Wire Relocation - Requires Electric Meter Relocation	10' 9' 11' 11' 11' 3' Shared Use Path Planting strip Drive lane Drive lane Drive lane
Sligo Creek Pkwy to Glenside Drive (Sta. 124+00 To 127+75, Lt)	Alternative 1 (Shown on Concept Plan) - Construct 10' Wide SUP with 10' min Buffer behind Ex. Curb	- Off Street Bicycle Accommodations - SUP is 10' Wide with a 10' min Buffer - Existing Sidewalk Remains in place	 Impacts M-NCPPC and Brightlight Baptist Church Properties Requires Bike/Pedestrian Bridge Potential Floodplain Impacts Potential Utility Pole Guy Wire Relocation Removal of Trees 	2' 10' 5' 5' 11' 11' 11' 3' Shared Use Path Sidewalk Drive lane Drive lane Drive lane

New Ave Bikeway - Option Matrix





Page	3	of	5
------	---	----	---

SEGMENT	ALTERNATIVE	PROS	CONS	PROPOSED TYPICAL (Generated from Streetmix.net)	
Glenside Drive to Merwood Drive (Sta. 128+00 To 134+00, Lt)	Alternative 1A (Shown on Concept Plan) - Reduce Width of Median Island to 3.5' - Restripe Service Rd with SB Sharrow and NB Contra-Flow Lane	 Maintains On Street Parking Utilizes Existing Sidewalk and Curb on Outside Edge of Frontage Road No Impacts to Fence, Trees, or Driveway/Walkway Tie-ins Removes Pedestrians from Service Road Median 	 4 Utility Pole Relocations (Requires Utility Poles be relocated within 3.5' wide median) Reduction in width of median separating MD 650 and Service Road Existing Sidewalk Does Not Meet ADA Requirements. Recommend Construction of Passing Areas Every 200' 	4' 7' 10' 5' Parking lane Sharrow Bike la	3½'
	Alternative 1B and 1C	Alternative 1B (In Addition to 1A Pros): - Provides further separation of NB and SB Bicyclists from MD 650 Alternative 1C (In Addition to 1A Pros): - Provides further separation of NB Bicyclists from MD 650 - Parking Located on Typical Side of Road	Alternative 1B (In Addition to 1A Cons): - Non typical parking location - Narrow median offers limited access to parked vehicles - Non Traditional Lane Configuration - Pedestrians must cross bike lane and travel lane to access parked cars Alternative 1C (In Addition to 1A Cons): - No buffer between Parking Lane and Contra-Flow Lane (Potential Conflicts)	4' 5' 10' 7' 3½' 4' 5' Bike lane Sharrow Parking lane Bike lane	7' 10' 3\frac{1}{2}' Sharrow
	Alternative 2 - Remove On-Street Parking - Restripe as SB Sharrow and NB Contra-Flow Lane	 Provides Buffer Between Contra-Flow Lane and Shared Lane No Impacts to frontage road median except at intersection Least Impact/Low Cost 	- Removes On-Street Parking	4' 10' 1' 5' 8½' Sharrow Bike lane Sidewal	
	Alternative 3 - Reduce Width of Median Island to 3.5' - Reconstruct Sidewalk as 8' SUP (Min.) with 2' Buffer	 Maintains On Street Parking Off Street Bicycle Accommodations Removes Pedestrians from Service Road Median 	- SUP Is 8' Minimum Width - 4 Potential Utility Pole Relocations (Relocated to proposed 3.5' Wide Median) - Reconstruct Driveway/Walkway Tie-Ins - In Select Locations no Buffer between SUP and Road - Higher Potential for Bike/Ped Conflicts - Reduction in width of median separating MD 650 and Service Road	8' 2' 7' 10' Shared Use Path Parking lane Drive lane	3½'

New Ave Bikeway - Option Matrix





Page 4 of 5				Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments		
SEGMENT	ALTERNATIVE	PROS	CONS	PROPOSED TYPICAL (Generated from Streetmix.net)		
Merwood Drive to Kingwood Drive (Sta. 134+75 To 140+50, Lt)	Alternative 1A (Shown on Concept Plan) - Reduce Width of Median Island to 3.5' - Restripe Service Rd with SB Sharrow and NB Contra-Flow Lane	 Maintains On Street Parking Utilizes Existing Sidewalk and Curb on Outside Edge of Frontage Road No Impacts to Fence, Trees, or Driveway/Walkway Tie-ins Removes Pedestrians from Service Road Median 	 4 Potential Utility Pole Relocations Contra-Flow Lane is Min. 4' Wide (MDSHA Bicycle Guidelines allow 4' width for Posted Speed <35 MPH) Reduction in width of median separating MD 650 and Service Road Existing Sidewalk Does Not Meet ADA Requirements. Recommend Construction of Passing Areas Every 200' 	4' 7' 10' 4' 3½' Parking lane Drive lane		
	Alternative 1B and 1C	Alternative 1B (In Addition to 1A Pros): - Provides further separation of NB and SB Bicyclists from MD 650 Alternative 1C (In Addition to 1A Pros): - Provides further separation of NB Bicyclists from MD 650 - Parking Located on Typical Side of Road	Alternative 1B (In Addition to 1A Cons): - Non typical parking location - Narrow median offers limited access to parked vehicles - Non Traditional Lane Configuration - Pedestrians must cross bike lane and travel lane to access parked cars Alternative 1C (In Addition to 1A Cons): - No buffer between Parking Lane and Contra-Flow Lane (Potential Conflicts)	Alternative 1B Alternative 1C		
	Alternative 2 - Remove On-Street Parking - Restripe as SB Sharrow and NB Contra-Flow Lane	 Provides Buffer Between Contra-Flow Lane and Shared Lane No Impacts to frontage road median except at intersection No Utility Pole Relocation Least Impact/Low Cost 	- Removes On-Street Parking - Requires reconstruction of curb, sidewalk, median, and bus stop at Merwood Drive Intersection to install Contra-Flow Lane - Impacts to Gadsden Property	4' 10' 2' 5' 7½' Sharrow Bike lane Sidewalk		
	Alternative 3 - Reduce Width of Median Island to 3.5' - Reconstruct Sidewalk as 8' SUP (Min.) with 2' Buffer	 Maintains On Street Parking Off Street Bicycle Accommodations Removes Pedestrians from Service Road Median 	- SUP Is 8' Minimum Width - 4 Potential Utility Pole Relocations (Relocated to proposed 3.5' Wide Median) - Reconstruct Driveway/Walkway Tie-Ins - In Select Locations no Buffer between SUP and Road - Reduction in width of median separating MD 650 and Service Road - Higher Potential for Bike/Ped Conflicts - High Impact/Most Expensive to Construct	8' 2' 7' 10' 3½' Shared Use Path Parking lane Drive lane		

New Ave Bikeway - Option Matrix





SEGMENT	ALTERNATIVE	PROS	CONS	PROPOSED TYPICAL (Generated from Streetmix.net)
Kingwood Drive to Holton Lane (Sta. 141+00 To 143+00, Lt)	- Remove On-Street Parking	 Provides Buffer Between Contra-Flow Lane and Shared Lane No Impacts to frontage road median except at intersection Maintains Existing Grass Buffer between back of curb and sidewalk Relocates Bus Stop off of median Lower Impact/Low Cost 	- Removes On-Street Parking - Existing Sidewalk Does Not Meet ADA Requirements. Recommend Construction of Passing Areas Every 200' - Buffer Width is Minimum (3' Preferred)	4' 6' 10' 1' 5' 9' Sharrow Bike lane Median
	Alternative 1B - Remove On-Street Parking - Restripe as SB Sharrow and NB Contra-Flow Lane	 Provides Buffer Between Contra-Flow Lane and Shared Lane No Impacts to frontage road median except at intersection Maintains Existing Grass Buffer between back of curb and sidewalk Relocates Bus Stop off of median Lower Impact/Low Cost Northbound Bicyclists moved to farside away from MD 650 	- Removes On-Street Parking - Existing Sidewalk Does Not Meet ADA Requirements. Recommend Construction of Passing Areas Every 200' - Buffer Width is Minimum (3' Preferred)	4' 6' 5' 1' 10' 9' Bike lane Drive lane Median
	Alternative 2 - Reconstruct Sidewalk as 8' SUP (Min.) with 2' Buffer	 Maintains On Street Parking Off Street Bicycle Accommodations Relocates Bus Stop off of median 	- SUP Is 8' Minimum Width - Reconstruct Walkway Tie-Ins - Higher Impact/Higher Cost	8' 2' 7' 10' 9' Shared Use Path Parking lane Drive lane Median