Takoma Park Redistricting Summary Statistics
Option 1—DRAFT—Subject to change
11/18/2021

Ideal Population Criterion

| Ideal Population | 2,939 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall Range | $8.6 \%$ |  |  |
| $5.0 \% \quad 5.0-10.0 \%$ |  |  | $>10.0 \%$ |

Total Population \& Deviation per Ward

| Ward | Total <br> Population | Over / Under <br> Ideal | Deviation From <br> Ideal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2,975 | 36 | $1.2 \%$ |
| 2 | 2,799 | -140 | $-4.8 \%$ |
| 3 | 3,053 | 114 | $3.9 \%$ |
| 4 | 2,834 | -105 | $-3.6 \%$ |
| 5 | 2,948 | 9 | $0.3 \%$ |
| 6 | 3,024 | 85 | $2.9 \%$ |

Total Population by Race/Ethnicity per Ward

| Ward | White | Black or <br> African <br> American | American <br> Indian or <br> Alaska Native | Asian | Native <br> Hawalian and <br> Pacific <br> Islander | Some <br> Other <br> Race | Two or <br> More <br> Races | Hispanic/ <br> Latino |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $65.9 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ | $9.9 \%$ |
| 2 | $56.4 \%$ | $19.6 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $6.6 \%$ | $13.3 \%$ |
| 3 | $53.4 \%$ | $27.5 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $6.1 \%$ | $9.7 \%$ |
| 4 | $22.3 \%$ | $58.0 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ | $11.1 \%$ |
| 5 | $31.8 \%$ | $32.3 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $24.5 \%$ |
| 6 | $20.7 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $25.1 \%$ |

## CVAP by Race/Ethnicity per Ward

| Ward | White | Black or <br> African <br> American | American Indian <br> or Alaska Native | Asian | Native Hawaifan <br> and Pacific <br> Islander | Two or <br> More <br> Races | Hispanic/ <br> Latino |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $69.2 \%$ | $13.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ |
| 2 | $66.4 \%$ | $19.3 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $8.7 \%$ |
| 3 | $62.3 \%$ | $25.6 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ |
| 4 | $46.7 \%$ | $41.4 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $6.2 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ |
| 5 | $54.0 \%$ | $32.2 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $7.6 \%$ |
| 6 | $33.1 \%$ | $35.4 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $15.8 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ | $14.4 \%$ |

2015-2019 (5-year) American Community Survey (ACS) Citizen Voting-age Population (CVAP) by Race and Ethnicity Special Tabulation. Some Other Race category not included within the ACS special tabulation.
*Rounding may lead to summation of race/ethnicity percentages not equal to $100 \%$ (+/-1\%)

Compactness Measures per Ward

| Ward | Polsby-Popper | Schwartzberg | Reock | Convex Hull | Length-Width |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.58 | 1.31 | 0.38 | 0.92 | 0.62 |
| 2 | 0.29 | 1.85 | 0.33 | 0.66 | 0.64 |
| 3 | 0.27 | 1.93 | 0.25 | 0.66 | 0.67 |
| 4 | 0.48 | 1.44 | 0.41 | 0.76 | 0.91 |
| 5 | 0.21 | 2.17 | 0.20 | 0.59 | 0.63 |
| 6 | 0.45 | 1.49 | 0.28 | 0.80 | 0.98 |

Polsby-Popper, Reock, Convex Hull, and Length-Width scores fall within the range of $0-1$, with 0 being the least compact and 1 being the most compact. In comparison, a Schwartzberg score of 1 is the most compact and higher scores are increasingly less compact.

