Takoma Park Redistricting Summary Statistics
Option 4—DRAFT—Subject to change
11/18/2021

Ideal Population Criterion

| Ideal Population | 2,939 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Overall Range | $6.4 \%$ |
| $5.0 \% \quad 5.0-10.0 \%$ | $>10.0 \%$ |

Total Population \& Deviation per Ward

| Ward | Total <br> Population | Over / Under <br> Ideal | Deviation From <br> Ideal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2,971 | 32 | $1.1 \%$ |
| 2 | 2,913 | -26 | $-0.9 \%$ |
| 3 | 2,837 | -102 | $-3.5 \%$ |
| 4 | 3,011 | 72 | $2.5 \%$ |
| 5 | 2,877 | -62 | $-2.1 \%$ |
| 6 | 3,024 | 85 | $2.9 \%$ |

Total Population by Race/Ethnicity per Ward

| Ward | White | Black or <br> African <br> American | American <br> Indian or <br> Alaska Native | Asian | Native <br> Hawaifan and <br> Pacific <br> Islander | Some <br> Other <br> Race | Two or <br> More <br> Races | Hispanic/ <br> Latino |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $66.3 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ | $10.2 \%$ |
| 2 | $61.8 \%$ | $16.7 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $6.6 \%$ | $11.5 \%$ |
| 3 | $58.3 \%$ | $18.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $7.2 \%$ | $11.9 \%$ |
| 4 | $21.4 \%$ | $59.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ |
| 5 | $23.4 \%$ | $42.4 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | $23.8 \%$ |
| 6 | $20.7 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $25.1 \%$ |

## CVAP by Race/Ethnicity per Ward

| Ward | White | Black or <br> African <br> American | American Indian <br> or Alaska Native | Asian | Native Hawaifan <br> and Pacific <br> Islander | Two or <br> More <br> Races | Hispanic/ <br> Latino |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $70.5 \%$ | $12.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ |
| 2 | $67.9 \%$ | $14.7 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ |
| 3 | $76.2 \%$ | $14.8 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ |
| 4 | $41.0 \%$ | $48.9 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ |
| 5 | $44.1 \%$ | $41.1 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ |
| 6 | $33.1 \%$ | $35.4 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $15.8 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ | $14.4 \%$ |

2015-2019 (5-year) American Community Survey (ACS) Citizen Voting-age Population (CVAP) by Race and Ethnicity Special Tabulation. Some Other Race category not included within the ACS special tabulation.
*Rounding may lead to summation of race/ethnicity percentages not equal to $100 \%$ (+/-1\%)

Compactness Measures per Ward

| Ward | Polsby-Popper | Schwartzberg | Reock | Convex Hull | Length-Width |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.51 | 1.40 | 0.40 | 0.88 | 0.64 |
| 2 | 0.67 | 1.22 | 0.56 | 0.92 | 0.98 |
| 3 | 0.43 | 1.53 | 0.46 | 0.77 | 0.93 |
| 4 | 0.56 | 1.34 | 0.49 | 0.83 | 0.77 |
| 5 | 0.29 | 1.86 | 0.25 | 0.64 | 0.91 |
| 6 | 0.45 | 1.49 | 0.28 | 0.80 | 0.98 |

Polsby-Popper, Reock, Convex Hull, and Length-Width scores fall within the range of $0-1$, with 0 being the least compact and 1 being the most compact. In comparison, a Schwartzberg score of 1 is the most compact and higher scores are increasingly less compact.

