Takoma Park Redistricting Summary Statistics
Option 5—DRAFT—Subject to change
11/18/2021

Ideal Population Criterion

| Ideal Population | 2,939 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall Range | $6.0 \%$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C} 5.0 \% \quad 5.0-10.0 \%$ |  |  | $>10.0 \%$ |

Total Population \& Deviation per Ward

| Ward | Total <br> Population | Over / Under <br> Ideal | Deviation From <br> Ideal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3,017 | 78 | $2.7 \%$ |
| 2 | 2,936 | -3 | $-0.1 \%$ |
| 3 | 2,890 | -49 | $-1.7 \%$ |
| 4 | 2,937 | -2 | $-0.1 \%$ |
| 5 | 3,012 | 73 | $2.5 \%$ |
| 6 | 2,841 | -98 | $-3.3 \%$ |

Total Population by Race/Ethnicity per Ward

| Ward | White | Black or <br> African <br> American | American <br> Indian or <br> Alaska Native | Asian | Native <br> Hawaifan and <br> Pacific <br> Islander | Some <br> Other <br> Race | Two or <br> More <br> Races | Hispanic/ <br> Latino |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $65.2 \%$ | $12.2 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ |
| 2 | $60.9 \%$ | $17.5 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $6.6 \%$ | $11.7 \%$ |
| 3 | $49.4 \%$ | $25.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $6.1 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ |
| 4 | $20.4 \%$ | $64.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ |
| 5 | $32.5 \%$ | $31.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $6.1 \%$ | $24.4 \%$ |
| 6 | $21.4 \%$ | $38.3 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $8.9 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $25.7 \%$ |

## CVAP by Race/Ethnicity per Ward

| Ward | White | Black or <br> African <br> American | American Indian <br> or Alaska Native | Asian | Native Hawaifan <br> and Pacific <br> Islander | Two or <br> More <br> Races | Hispanic/ <br> Latino |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $72.6 \%$ | $9.4 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $11.6 \%$ |
| 2 | $67.3 \%$ | $15.3 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $9.3 \%$ |
| 3 | $71.9 \%$ | $16.4 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ |
| 4 | $32.7 \%$ | $59.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ |
| 5 | $54.6 \%$ | $31.8 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ |
| 6 | $31.0 \%$ | $36.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $16.5 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $14.9 \%$ |

2015-2019 (5-year) American Community Survey (ACS) Citizen Voting-age Population (CVAP) by Race and Ethnicity Special Tabulation. Some Other Race category not included within the ACS special tabulation.
*Rounding may lead to summation of race/ethnicity percentages not equal to $100 \%$ (+/-1\%)

Compactness Measures per Ward

| Ward | Polsby-Popper | Schwartzberg | Reock | Convex Hull | Length-Width |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.51 | 1.40 | 0.44 | 0.84 | 0.60 |
| 2 | 0.67 | 1.22 | 0.52 | 0.92 | 0.99 |
| 3 | 0.37 | 1.65 | 0.30 | 0.74 | 0.55 |
| 4 | 0.59 | 1.30 | 0.51 | 0.84 | 0.74 |
| 5 | 0.23 | 2.08 | 0.20 | 0.60 | 0.63 |
| 6 | 0.43 | 1.52 | 0.28 | 0.83 | 0.94 |

Polsby-Popper, Reock, Convex Hull, and Length-Width scores fall within the range of $0-1$, with 0 being the least compact and 1 being the most compact. In comparison, a Schwartzberg score of 1 is the most compact and higher scores are increasingly less compact.

