Option 5—DRAFT—Subject to change 11/18/2021



Ideal Population Criterion

Ideal Pop	2,939		
Overall Range			6.0%
< 5.0%	5.0 - 10.0%		> 10.0%

Total Population & Deviation per Ward

Ward	Total Population	Over / Under Ideal	Deviation From Ideal
1	3,017	78	2.7%
2	2,936	-3	-0.1%
3	2,890	-49	-1.7%
4	2,937	-2	-0.1%
5	3,012	73	2.5%
6	2,841	-98	-3.3%

Total Population by Race/Ethnicity per Ward

Ward	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	Some Other Race	Two or More Races	Hispanic/ Latino
1	65.2%	12.2%	0.3%	3.1%	0.0%	0.3%	8.0%	10.7%
2	60.9%	17.5%	0.1%	2.7%	0.0%	0.5%	6.6%	11.7%
3	49.4%	25.7%	0.0%	3.7%	0.0%	1.1%	6.1%	14.0%
4	20.4%	64.0%	0.0%	4.6%	0.0%	0.4%	2.9%	7.7%
5	32.5%	31.7%	0.0%	4.5%	0.0%	0.8%	6.1%	24.4%
6	21.4%	38.3%	0.0%	8.9%	0.1%	0.5%	5.2%	25.7%

2020 Census P.L 94-171 Redistricting Data Summary Files Total Population by race and Hispanic/Latino origin.

. 11/18/2021



Ward	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Hispanic/ Latino
1	72.6%	9.4%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	4.4%	11.6%
2	67.3%	15.3%	0.5%	5.1%	0.0%	2.3%	9.3%
3	71.9%	16.4%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	5.7%	4.2%
4	32.7%	59.7%	0.0%	3.7%	0.6%	0.6%	3.1%
5	54.6%	31.8%	1.0%	3.7%	0.0%	1.3%	7.5%
6	31.0%	36.2%	0.0%	16.5%	0.0%	1.3%	14.9%

CVAP by Race/Ethnicity per Ward

2015-2019 (5-year) American Community Survey (ACS) Citizen Voting-age Population (CVAP) by Race and Ethnicity Special Tabulation. Some Other Race category not included within the ACS special tabulation.

*Rounding may lead to summation of race/ethnicity percentages not equal to 100% (+/- 1%)

Compactness Measures per Ward

Ward	Polsby-Popper	Schwartzberg	Reock	Convex Hull	Length-Width
1	0.51	1.40	0.44	0.84	0.60
2	0.67	1.22	0.52	0.92	0.99
3	0.37	1.65	0.30	0.74	0.55
4	0.59	1.30	0.51	0.84	0.74
5	0.23	2.08	0.20	0.60	0.63
6	0.43	1.52	0.28	0.83	0.94

Polsby-Popper, Reock, Convex Hull, and Length-Width scores fall within the range of 0-1, with 0 being the least compact and 1 being the most compact. In comparison, a Schwartzberg score of 1 is the most compact and higher scores are increasingly less compact.