


City of Takoma Park Ward Map Redistricting 2021 Option 3





Legend

- Existing Wards
- Buildings
- Streets
- Option 3 Potential Change Area

Option 3

Ward Number

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6



0 0.25 0.5 Miles

212 501

N

*Data obtained from City of Takoma Park and the 2020 Census data

Takoma Park Redistricting Summary Statistics

Option 3—DRAFT—Subject to change

11/18/2021



Ideal Population Criterion

Ideal Population	2,939	
Overall Range	7.9%	
< 5.0%	5.0 - 10.0%	> 10.0%

Total Population & Deviation per Ward

Ward	Total Population	Over / Under Ideal	Deviation From Ideal
1	2,792	-147	-5.0%
2	2,936	-3	-0.1%
3	2,993	54	1.8%
4	3,017	78	2.7%
5	2,871	-68	-2.3%
6	3,024	85	2.9%

Total Population by Race/Ethnicity per Ward

Ward	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	Some Other Race	Two or More Races	Hispanic/Latino
1	69.9%	7.3%	0.4%	3.4%	0.0%	0.4%	8.6%	10.1%
2	60.9%	17.5%	0.1%	2.7%	0.0%	0.5%	6.6%	11.7%
3	57.1%	17.7%	0.0%	4.1%	0.0%	0.5%	7.3%	13.2%
4	18.8%	62.6%	0.0%	4.5%	0.0%	0.9%	2.4%	10.9%
5	25.3%	41.9%	0.0%	4.0%	0.0%	0.9%	5.0%	22.8%
6	20.7%	40.0%	0.0%	8.5%	0.1%	0.5%	5.2%	25.1%

2020 Census P.L 94-171 Redistricting Data Summary Files Total Population by race and Hispanic/Latino origin.

Takoma Park Redistricting Summary Statistics

Option 3—DRAFT—Subject to change

11/18/2021



CVAP by Race/Ethnicity per Ward

Ward	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Hispanic/Latino
1	71.2%	11.4%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	2.9%	12.3%
2	67.3%	15.3%	0.5%	5.1%	0.0%	2.3%	9.3%
3	75.9%	15.2%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	3.1%	3.7%
4	43.8%	45.2%	0.0%	3.0%	0.4%	4.9%	2.9%
5	41.2%	44.2%	1.0%	4.2%	0.2%	1.3%	8.2%
6	33.1%	35.4%	0.0%	15.8%	0.0%	1.2%	14.4%

2015-2019 (5-year) American Community Survey (ACS) Citizen Voting-age Population (CVAP) by Race and Ethnicity Special Tabulation. Some Other Race category not included within the ACS special tabulation.

*Rounding may lead to summation of race/ethnicity percentages not equal to 100% (+/- 1%)

Compactness Measures per Ward

Ward	Polsby-Popper	Schwartzberg	Reock	Convex Hull	Length-Width
1	0.63	1.26	0.47	0.94	0.60
2	0.67	1.22	0.52	0.92	0.99
3	0.49	1.42	0.48	0.81	0.96
4	0.50	1.41	0.41	0.78	0.77
5	0.23	2.10	0.27	0.58	0.82
6	0.45	1.49	0.28	0.80	0.98

Polsby-Popper, Reock, Convex Hull, and Length-Width scores fall within the range of 0-1, with 0 being the least compact and 1 being the most compact. In comparison, a Schwartzberg score of 1 is the most compact and higher scores are increasingly less compact.