

Takoma Park Redistricting Summary Statistics

Option 4—DRAFT—Subject to change 11/18/2021



Ideal Population Criterion

Ideal Pop	2,939		
Overall Range			6.4%
< 5.0%	5.0 - 10.0%		> 10.0%

Total Population & Deviation per Ward

Ward	Total Population	Over / Under Ideal	Deviation From Ideal
1	2,971	32	1.1%
2	2,913	-26	-0.9%
3	2,837	-102	-3.5%
4	3,011	72	2.5%
5	2,877	-62	-2.1%
6	3,024	85	2.9%

Total Population by Race/Ethnicity per Ward

Ward	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	Some Other Race	Two or More Races	Hispanic/ Latino
1	66.3%	11.3%	0.3%	3.2%	0.0%	0.4%	8.3%	10.2%
2	61.8%	16.7%	0.1%	2.7%	0.0%	0.5%	6.6%	11.5%
3	58.3%	18.1%	0.0%	4.0%	0.0%	0.5%	7.2%	11.9%
4	21.4%	59.1%	0.0%	4.8%	0.0%	0.9%	2.5%	11.3%
5	23.4%	42.4%	0.0%	4.1%	0.0%	0.9%	5.3%	23.8%
6	20.7%	40.0%	0.0%	8.5%	0.1%	0.5%	5.2%	25.1%

2020 Census P.L 94-171 Redistricting Data Summary Files Total Population by race and Hispanic/Latino origin.

Takoma Park Redistricting Summary Statistics

Option 4—DRAFT—Subject to change 11/18/2021



CVAP by Race/Ethnicity per Ward

Ward	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Hispanic/ Latino
1	70.5%	12.1%	0.0%	2.3%	0.0%	3.0%	12.0%
2	67.9%	14.7%	0.5%	4.9%	0.0%	2.8%	9.2%
3	76.2%	14.8%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%	3.5%	3.6%
4	41.0%	48.9%	0.0%	3.2%	0.4%	3.8%	2.9%
5	44.1%	41.1%	1.1%	4.3%	0.1%	1.3%	8.2%
6	33.1%	35.4%	0.0%	15.8%	0.0%	1.2%	14.4%

2015-2019 (5-year) American Community Survey (ACS) Citizen Voting-age Population (CVAP) by Race and Ethnicity Special Tabulation. Some Other Race category not included within the ACS special tabulation.

Compactness Measures per Ward

Ward	Polsby-Popper	Schwartzberg	Reock	Convex Hull	Length-Width
1	0.51	1.40	0.40	0.88	0.64
2	0.67	1.22	0.56	0.92	0.98
3	0.43	1.53	0.46	0.77	0.93
4	0.56	1.34	0.49	0.83	0.77
5	0.29	1.86	0.25	0.64	0.91
6	0.45	1.49	0.28	0.80	0.98

Polsby-Popper, Reock, Convex Hull, and Length-Width scores fall within the range of 0-1, with 0 being the least compact and 1 being the most compact. In comparison, a Schwartzberg score of 1 is the most compact and higher scores are increasingly less compact.

^{*}Rounding may lead to summation of race/ethnicity percentages not equal to 100% (+/- 1%)